







BioSpace25 - Biodiversity insight from Space 10 - 14 February 2025 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati - Italy

Prototyping a Policy-Driven EO Service for Monitoring Critical Wetland Habitats in Natura 2000 Sites

C. Vancutsem, M. Lahsaini, & M. Dowell (KCEO-JRC), B. Combal & F. Vassen (ENV.D3), and P. Milenov (EEA)

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For ESA Official Use Only





Commission

KCEO Deep Dive assessment on Biodiversity

MONITORINO OF THE FU MOSIVERSITY STRATEGY (803) TANGETS

NEEDS: High-resolution (HR) and long-term indicators on a yearly basis to effectively monitor progress towards the targets outlined. STATUS: Two online tools for tracking and reporting the progress of the BDS implementation: <u>Actions tracker</u> and <u>Dashboard</u>. GAPS:

· Lack of yearly HR maps to track changes.

 Absence of suitable ground-based blodiversity data for training and validation.

BIDDIVERSITY MONITORING IN KEY AFRICAN LANOSCAPES FOR CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT (KLEDI)

NEEDS: Detailed indicators, encompassing performance monitoring and accountability measures to support the conservation of ecosystems and the expansion of the protected areas network, while fostering livelihoods and human development.

STATUS: EO products integrated in the <u>AKP</u> provide a baseline for monitoring across the selected KLCDs. A userfriendly tool will be developed in the context of <u>NaturAfrica</u> and the Regional Centres of Excellence Programme. GAPS: Insufficiency in integrating ancillary data, including socio-economic information derived from UNESCO and EU Delegations.

BIODIVERSITY MONITORING IN AGRICULTURE LANDSCAPE

NEEDS: Indicators for biodiversity monitoring and evaluation to meet the 10% target for High Diversity Landscape Features.

STATUS: SWF and LUCAS Landscape Features module partially address the needs.

GAPS:

- Lack of integration between SWF and LUCAS module.
- + Absence of independent and traceable quality
- assessment of SWF with respect to policy requirements. Inadequate frequency and latency of available products.

MONITORING SHIFTS IN GEOGRAPHIC RANGES, DISTRIBUTION AND CONDITIONS OF SPECIES POPULATION AS A FUNCTION OF CHANGING CLIMATE

NEEDS: Assessment of the impacts of Climate Change on ecosystems' functions and structures, on species abundance and distribution.

STATUS: Climatic data products are suitable for bioclimatic models. GAPS:

- Low spatial resolution of EO products.
- Lack of operationality in combining bioclimatic modelling technologies with bioclimatic products (under development by C3S).
- Insufficiency in parametrising biological processes to be included in models.
 Inaccuracy and lack of performance assessment of bioclimatic models.

MONITORING OF URBAN GREEN SPACES

NEEDS: Multitemporal HR maps covering various types of urban green infrastructures. STATUS: <u>Urban Attas</u> and <u>Smail Woody Features</u>, among others, partially fulfil the need.

- GAPS: • Inadequate temporal frequency, thematic granularity, and spatial coverage.
- Geometric inaccuracy.



ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING OF EU FOREST HEALTH

NEEDS: A forest monitoring system to alert about disturbances, assess the impact of climate change on biodiversity, and predict disturbance risks.

STATUS: Copernicus CLC+ Backbone and High Resolution Layer Forest, among others, partially address the needs.

- GAPS: • Lack of HR yearly maps on forest status and changes.
- Insufficient delineation of forest types.
- Insufficient integration of ground and satellite data.
- Limited access to and use of training and reference data for accuracy assessment of Copernicus products.

MONITORING WETLAND HABITATS

NEEDS: HR maps of delineating wetland habitats and long-term indicators for assessing overall conditions and changes. **STATUS:** <u>Land cover map on riparian zones</u>, a dataset on <u>long-term</u> <u>dynamics of surface water</u>, and <u>in-situ soil moisture observations</u>. **GAPS:**

- **5:** all of common definition
- Lack of common definition for wetlands, based on generalized, objective, and measurable criteria.
- Insufficiency in geographic coverage, thematic granularity, spatial and temporal consistency, and lack of a long-term record.
- · Absence of a user-friendly platform to facilitate products accessibility.

MONITORING ESSENTIAL FISH HABITATS AND VULNERABLE MARINE ECOSYSTEMS, & MARINE BIODIVERSITY

NEEDS: HR maps for assessing marine protected areas (MPAs), characterising fisheries resources and identifying vulnerable ecosystems.

STATUS: EMODnet and the Copernicus Marine Service partially address the needs. GAPS:

- Lack of centralised and easy access to satellite and in-situ national data.
- Absence of informative indicators on species richness and abundance hotspots, overlaps between hotspots and MPAs, and areas impacted.
- Insufficient spatial and temporal resolutions.

MONITORING ECOSYSTEMS HEALTH TO SUPPORT BIODIVERSITY INVESTMENTS

NEEDS: Monitoring system to guide and assess EU investments in biodiversity and ecosystems. STATUS: Available EO products partially

address the needs. GAPS:

- Insufficient generation of targeted indicators, such as phenology or productivity indices.
- Lack of operationality.
- Inconsistencies and gaps in the time series.
 Coarse thematic granularity of land cover
- Coarse thematic granularity of land cover maps, limiting a comprehensive understanding of ecosystems.

Prototyping a Policy-Driven EO Service for wetlands Monitoring



Habitat protection - Policy Context

Habitat Directive: Protects 232 Habitat types (Annex I) and thousands of non-bird species (Annex II – fauna and flora)

Humid habitats: a list of 10 major groups has been defined based on NRL annexes

Three key provisions requiring geospatial monitoring:

- Article 4.4: MS must identify Habitats to protect, and protect a sufficient proportion in <u>Natura 2000 sites</u> (Site designation)
- Article 6.2: MS must prevent degradation within Natura sites (Compliance)
- Article 17: MS must report every 6 years on conservation status across their entire territory (Monitoring)

EO is needed to automatise the monitoring and reporting

Synergies with other Legislations: The Birds Directive, The Nature Restroration Law, The Forest Monitoring Law, The CRCF, The WFD and the MSFD, the CAP, LULUCF

Annex I Humid Habitat Types

	Habitat Group	Number of Types
	Coastal and salt habitats	11
	Rivers and lakes	20
	Temperate heaths	2
	Peat grassland	1
	Alluvial meadows	4
	Sphagnum acid bogs	6
	Calcareous fens	4
	Boreal mires	2
	Bog woodland	2
	Alluvial/riparian forests	8





XX



Requirements for EO-derived outputs and analysis

- Coverage & Resolution: Full territorial monitoring with focus on Natura sites to detect local changes (HR), along with regional analysis of environmental conditions and climate impacts (MR).
- Temporal aspects: Trend analysis from historical baseline (1992/2000) with annual monitoring to track early signs of degradation, Anomaly detection of environmental conditions.
- Key Elements to Monitor: Protected site boundaries, habitat extent and conditions, signs of degradation (human vs climate impacts), accounting for natural wetland variability.
- Data Integration: Integrate EO data with field measurements, local expertise, and modeling.
- Access Platform: Develop user-friendly web application for local experts to extract policy-relevant information.



From assessment to prototyping



Design an operational geospatial information system to monitor critical wetlands, detect degradation, and assess conservation status within Natura 2000 sites, through the following steps:

- Ecological Characterisation: Analyse European wetland habitats (part of Annex1) to understand their ecological functioning and identify key pressures and threats leading to degradation.
- .
- **EO-based indicators:** Identify relevant EO-based products for selected habitats using reference sites. Prioritize wetland types based on degradation levels (per Article 17 of the Habitats Directive), relevance beyond the Directive, and biodiversity value.
- Analytical tools: Design dedicated spatial and temporal analytical tools for policymakers and conservation managers, integrating EO technologies, ground-truth data and modelling.



From Ecological function to observable indicators

Ecological Status Assessment: Characterizing various European wetland habitats (part of Annex1), their ecological functioning, and main pressures and threats leading to degradation

Good functioning: Ability of the ecosystem to maintain its natural processes and provide essential services that support biodiversity, water quality, and overall environmental health.

Pressure and Threats leading to degradation: Distinction between different levels of deterioration including direct human activities and broader environmental changes affecting the structure, function, and resilience of ecosystems, i.e. *agriculture intensification, urban development & habitat fragmentation, water management practices, invasive species, water pollution, climate change impacts.*

- Support of expert's network through <u>Science Service for Biodiversity</u> & Workshop organisation (End 2025)
- Based on reported pressures and threats (Art17)
- DG ENV study on monitoring and assessing the condition of various habitat types (ongoing)



D bioagora

Key EO proxy parameters

- Selection of relevant indicators that can be observed through EO and modeling
 - To be refined with ecological knowledge of specific pressures and threats
- Fitness for purpose (for each indicator needed):
 - Define the minimum & optimum technical requirements based on application needs
 - List the available products based on various criteria (spatial and temporal resolution, thematic content, geographic and temporal coverage, spatio-temporal consistency)

Based on SEPLA project analysis (Milenov et al. 2023) & DD assessment on Biodiversity & Compliance Assurance

Next: Prototyping on selected reference sites

Soil

- Soil moisture
- Ground movement monitoring

Hydrology

- Surface water presence & seasonality
- Hydrological modelling

LC/Vegetation Characteristics

- Land cover/use & changes
- Vegetation indices and phenology
- Vegetation structure

Environmental and climate impacts

- Water quality
- Fire occurrence and risk
- Meteorological and climate variables



Challenges and recommendations

- EO Products for monitoring:
 - Lack of HR products and consistent annually updated long-term records for trend analysis
 - Need for developing wetland-specific change analysis methods
- Lack of detailed outline of habitats (needed for pixel aggregation in monitoring analysis)
- Need for addressing data accuracy and uncertainty issues due to insufficient in-situ reference data (CalVal)
- Establishing clear connections between habitat characteristics to EO signals is challenging due to significant variability across the EU's diverse landscapes
- Need to integrate multiple data sources: EO (from various sensors), ecological expertise, in-situ measurements and modeling techniques
- Need for a user-friendly platform to improve products accessibility and enable analysis at local and regional scales



Thank you



Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

Slide xx: element concerned, source: e.g. Fotolia.com; Slide xx: element concerned, source: e.g. iStock.com

