

RECOUPMENT CAPACITY OF THE EUROPEAN BANKING SECTOR TO THE SRF AND THE CB

Wouter Heynderickx



 Disclaimer: This presentation prepared by the Single Resolution Board (SRB) is intended only for information purposes. Its content shall neither be binding nor construed as constituting a commitment by the SRB. Any unauthorised disclosure, use or dissemination, either in whole or in part, is prohibited.



Introduction

- Resolution Case(s) \rightarrow Resolution Tools
 - Single Resolution Fund (SRF): 52 bn EUR
 - If SRF not sufficient → Common Backstop (CB): Size of CB = size of SRF
- Common Backstop provided by the ESM: Loan (3 or 5 years maturity)
- Recoupment Capacity: "Can the banking sector repay the CB and the replenishment of the SRF within the foreseen timelines?"
- Repayment capacity: Recoupment capacity plus other cash flow sources
- Joint Project ESM and SRB



Building blocks: Recoupment capacity





Profit and Loss projections

https://srb.europa.eu

ᆂ The quantitative approach is founded on econometric model- and assumption- based items To estimate the econometric model based variables, macroeconomic and financial explanatory variables were selected according to their economic relevance and following existing literature. Variables estimated: ٠ Net Interest Income ECONOMETRIC Fee & Commissions MODEL BASED Loan Impairment Charges • **ITEMS** NPL ratio Addressing non-linearities: panel quantile regressions with bank fixed effects. • Addressing business model specificities: • Regressions are run by bank type (GSIB vs non-GSIB according to EBA classification) **Operating Expenses Dividend distribution** ASSUMPTION **BASED ITEMS** Tax Rate RWA • •

5

Contagion

血 Simulating shocks and tracking domino effects to the other financial institutions within the financial system.

| | Ŭ | | λ. | |
|--|--------------------|--|----|--|
| | CONTAGION MODEL | Financial crises are modelled through a micro simulation portfolio model: Systemic Model of Bank Originated Losses (SYMBOL). SYMBOL incorporates contagion effects using a bank by bank correlation matrix | | The outcome are simulations of a distressed banking |
| | | We apply the SYMBOL model with a correlation matrix based on banks' equity returns (time-varying). | | system with banks that are failing/likely to fail. |
| | | Correlation Matrix decomposed into Common Factors: Faster simulation | | |
| | SHOCKS | Selection of runs depending on use of Common Backstop: | | The outcome are losses that reduce the banks' capital levels |
| | | Safety Net implementation | | |
| | | Losses attributed to final assessment | | |
| | | Defaulted banks: capital surplus: max(0,TRC - 10.5%RWA) | | |
| | | Non-defaulted banks: SYMBOL simulated loss | | |
| | | | | |



ngle esolution

Scope & Correlation Matrix

- Public available data
- Scope: 107 Institutions
- Correlation matrix estimated on stock returns
 - Circa 50 banks are listed
 - Non-list banks: Average correlation between country index and listed banks





SYMBOL OUTPUT

- Circa 72 million runs simulated
- 300.000 runs with a simulated default: Loss > Total Regulatory Capital (TRC)





Safety Net Example: Use of CB for 25 bn





Losses generated by SYMBOL

Simulated losses (mn EUR)





Final Assessment: Integration of building bocks





Conclusion

- Different application of SYMBOL within the Recoupment capacity
- Changes to SYMBOL model
 - Adjustment of correlation matrix & Common Factor simulation
- Difficulties related to the integration with other models (blocks):
 - Macroeconomics scenarios
 - Econometric forecast of P&L
 - SYMBOL losses
 - Timing of losses







https://srb.europa.eu